

**SWSP1012 Marking Rubric for Assessment 2:
Peer-reviewed Conference Abstract**

This assessment task is marked out of 100 with a weighting of 30%.

Student: _____ Marker: _____ Total mark: _____

RUBRIC ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	Grade						
	7 (≥85%) High Distinction	6 (75-84%) Distinction	5 (65-74%) Credit	4 (50-64%) Pass	3 (47-49%) Marginal Fail	2 (30-46.99%) Fail	1 (0-29.99%) Low Fail
	Demonstrated evidence of exceptional achievement of course learning outcomes	Demonstrated evidence of advanced achievement of course learning outcomes	Demonstrated evidence of proficient achievement of course learning outcomes	Demonstrated evidence of functional achievement of course learning outcomes	Demonstrated evidence of developing achievement of course learning outcomes	Minimal evidence of achievement of course learning outcomes	Absence of evidence of achievement of course learning outcomes
Criterion 1: Knowledge	This criterion pertains to Part 4 of your portfolio.						
1.1 <i>Your emerging understanding of the concepts of power and structure</i>							
1.2 <i>Your emerging understanding of the concepts of human rights or social justice, and respect for diversity</i>							
Comment:							
___/20 marks	(17-20)	(15-16.9)	(13-14.9)	(10-12.9)	(9.4-9.9)	(6-9.3)	(0-5.9)

Criterion 2: Application	This criterion pertains to Part 4 of your portfolio.						
2.1 <i>Your ability to identify an appropriate topic of concern related to human rights or social justice, and diversity in contemporary Australia</i>							
2.2 <i>Your ability to provide well-argued reasons for your choice</i>							

Comment:							
___/20 marks	(17-20)	(15-16.9)	(13-14.9)	(10-12.9)	(9.4-9.9)	(6-9.3)	(0-5.9)

Criterion 3: Synthesis	This criterion pertains to Parts 3 and 4 of your portfolio.						
3.1 <i>Your beginning ability to draw upon the concepts of power and structure to interpret the topic and show how it is of concern to you as a citizen and future professional</i>							
3.2 <i>Your initial reflexive thinking on your role as a citizen and future professional in addressing your chosen concern</i>							
3.3 <i>Your ability to receive and respond appropriately to feedback</i>							
Comment:							
___/20 marks	(17-20)	(15-16.9)	(13-14.9)	(10-12.9)	(9.4-9.9)	(6-9.3)	(0-5.9)

Criterion 4: Skills	This criterion pertains across Parts 3, 4, and 5 of your portfolio.						
4.1 <i>Your ability to express your ideas within the stated wordcount requirements</i>							
4.2 <i>Your ability to structure an engaging abstract which clearly outlines your chosen issue, theoretical perspective, and argument</i>							
4.3 <i>Your ability to use theoretical, empirical, and “grey” literature to strengthen the quality of your argument</i>							
4.4 <i>Your ability to use of AI and/or MT technology purposefully, appropriately and transparently (if applicable)</i>							

Comment:							
___/30 marks	(25.5-30)	(22.5-25.4)	(19.5-22.4)	(15-19.4)	(14.1-14.9)	(9-14)	(0-8.9)

Criterion 5: Presentation	This criterion pertains to all Parts of your portfolio.						
5.1 <i>The care taken in laying out your work professionally and formatting it in line with stated requirements and APA 7th referencing style</i>							
5.2 <i>The care taken in proof-reading your work for spelling, grammar, and accuracy of expression</i>							
5.2 <i>The care taken in ensuring respectful use of language</i>							
Comment:							
___/10 marks	(8.5-10)	(7.5-8.4)	(6.5-7.4)	(5-6.4)	(4.7-4.9)	(3-4.6)	(0-2.9)

GRADE AWARDED	
COMMENTS	
ACADEMIC SIGNATURE	
PASS	
FAIL	